

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,976	02/15/2002	Xiangxin Bi	2950.18US02	1411	
7	7590 04/26/2004			EXAMINER	
Patterson, Thuente, Skaar & Christensen, P.A.			LE, HOA T		
4800 IDS Cent	er				
80 South 8th Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2100					
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\sim			
Advisory Action	10/076,976	BI ET AL				
navious y nauen	Examiner	Art Unit				
	H. T. Le	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The appropriation or the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: <u>See attached "Detailed Action"</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): 112-first paragraph.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: 18-30.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 -				
10. Other:		H. T. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1773				

Application/Control Number: 10/076,976

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claim 18 as presently amended includes the limitation "weakly agglomerated" and thus raises two new issues. First, there is no support in the originally filed specification for the limitation "weakly agglomerated". Thus, the amendment to claim 18 raises 112-first paragraph (new matter) issue. Second, the term "weakly" is relative and neither the originally filed specification nor original claims provides basis so that the metes and bounds that constitute the term "weakly agglomerated" can be ascertained. Thus, the amendment to claim 18 raises 112-second paragraph (indefiniteness) issue. Accordingly, the amendment filed March 12, 2003 has not been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Upon reconsideration, the first paragraph rejections set forth in the previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. Because the withdrawal of this rejection, it is accepted that claims 18-21, 23-28 and 30 are supported by the originally filed specification and as a result they now are available to be rejected under the anticipation rejection for the same reasons applied to the rejection of claims 22 and 29. The reason why claims 18-21, 23-28 and 30 were not rejected under the art rejection in the previous office actions because they had been held as not supported by the originally filed specification. Therefore, for reason of consistency, the Examiner could not reject them as if they were part of the original disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,976

Art Unit: 1773

- 3. Accordingly, claims 18-30 are now rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wiederhoft as applied to the rejection of claims 22 and 29 set forth in previous office actions. Note that this is not a new ground of rejection as the rejection is based on the same ground as set forth in previous office actions.
- 4. With regard to the prior-art rejection, Applicants argued that "the Wiederhoft patent does not teach how to form different forms of titanium dioxide. Specially, … the Wiederhoft patent does not teach how to select between amorphous. anatase and rutile forms of titanium dioxide." Selection of different forms of titanium dioxide is not relevant because the instant claims employ the language "comprising" which is an open language that permits non-specified ingredients even in major amount. The instant claims as recited do not exclude non-specified forms (such as anatase or amorphous) of titanium dioxide. In this case, the Wiederhoft reference teaches titanium dioxide particles which include "rutile titanium dioxide" (see col. 1 lines 8-11 and col. 2, lines 52-54), and thus it satisfies the claim language "comprising rutile titanium dioxide particles".
- 5. Applicants further asserted that the Wiederhoft patent "does not seem to claim rutile titanium dioxide, so enablement was not evaluated with respect to this feature for the Wiederhoft patent. The Examiner has not indicated how to fill the void in the disclosure of the Wiederhoft patent." By making this assertion, Applicants clearly ignore Wiederhoft's statement that "[f]or the purposes of the present invention, nanodisperse titanium dioxide is taken to be rutiles, anastase and amorphous titanium dioxide..." (col. 1, lines 8-10, emphasis

Art Unit: 1773

added). If that statement does not describe "a collection of particles comprising rutile titanium dioxide particles", what do Applicants think it says?

- 6. With regard to other issues, the arguments are deemed moot as the present amendment has not been entered for reasons set forth in section 1 above.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1773